JURNAL
PENELITIAN
KEBIJAKAN
PENDIDIKAN

Volume 18
Nomor 1/2025

Naskah diterima:
8 Maret 2025

direvisi akhir:
11 Agustus 2025

disetujui:
30 September 2025

DEEP LEARNING AS A PATHWAY TO PEDAGOGICAL TRANSFORMATION IN INDONESIA

[1]Jenri Ambarita, [2]Uci Purnamasari, [3]Agusthina Siahaya

[1]Hoseo University, Republic of Korea
[2]STIT Alquraniyah Manna, Indonesia
[3]State Christian Religious Institute of Ambon, Indonesia

Corresponding email: jenriambarita7@gmail.com

doi : 10.24832/jpkp.v18i1.1229

ABSTRACT

This study examines the implementation of deep learning in Indonesia's education system and evaluates its effectiveness in fostering students' critical thinking, problem-solving, creativity, and self-reflection. The central argument highlights the need for a pedagogical shift from rote memorization to understanding and application to achieve meaningful educational outcomes. Using a qualitative literature review and thematic analysis, the study identifies patterns, impacts, and challenges specific to Indonesia. Findings reveal that although deep learning strategies are emerging, traditional methods prevail. Key factors for successful implementation include institutional readiness, teacher training, and supportive policies. Major barriers are limited teacher competence, insufficient technological infrastructure, and assessments that emphasize memorization. The study concludes that integrating mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning is crucial for student engagement. Policy reforms, improved technological access, and strengthened teacher professional development are recommended to establish innovative, student-centered learning environments that enhance education quality in Indonesia.

Keywords: deep learning; critical thinking; pedagogical innovation; student engagement; education policy

INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, the concept of deep learning has gained increasing attention as an educational approach emphasizing deep understanding and active student engagement (Diraco et al., 2023). Deep learning extends beyond cognitive mastery of subject matter to include critical thinking, problem-solving, creativity, and self-reflection (Fullan & Langworthy, 2014; Jiang, 2022; Warburton, 2003; Zhang & Cao, 2021). This approach aligns with the 21st-century educational paradigm, which emphasizes experiential learning, emotional engagement, and the use of technology to create more meaningful learning environments (Jena & Barad, 2024).

Globally, several countries—including Finland, Singapore, Korea, and Canada—have adopted deep learning-based models to enhance educational quality. Instructional strategies such as in-depth exploration and project-based learning have been used to improve student comprehension (Pandya, 2019). In Indonesia, deep learning shows promising development. Observations during school visits as part of the *Sekolah Penggerak* program indicate that many teachers have begun adopting student-centered approaches that foster engagement and creativity. However, a large number of teachers still rely on conventional methods emphasizing rote memorization and standardized testing (Ambarita & Simanullang, 2023). Contributing factors include limited understanding of deep learning, inadequate technological infrastructure, and an assessment system that prioritizes quantitative results over deep cognitive engagement (Febrianty et al., 2024).

In line with the vision of Indonesia's Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology, which promotes mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning as pillars of educational transformation, the application of deep learning becomes increasingly relevant (Biro Komunikasi dan Hubungan Masyarakat, 2025). *Mindful learning* refers to full awareness in the learning process (Lin, 2020; Sykes, 2024; Wang et al., 2023). *Meaningful learning* emphasizes the relevance of subject matter to students' lives (Bryce & Blown, 2024; Polman et al., 2021; Zaka, 2023). *Joyful learning* highlights enjoyable and stress-free learning experiences (Mooduto & Musa, 2021; Ubay et al., 2021; Purwaningsih et al., 2021). Deep learning contributes to these three aspects by fostering reflective and immersive educational experiences.

Drawing on this background, the study addresses four key questions:

1. What is deep learning in the context of education?
2. How is deep learning implemented in Indonesia's education system?
3. What are the positive impacts of deep learning?
4. What challenges hinder its implementation in Indonesia?

To answer these questions, this study describes the concept of deep learning in education, analyzes its implementation in Indonesian classrooms, identifies its impacts on the education system, and explores the barriers to wider adoption.

Literature Review

This study is grounded in several educational theories relevant to deep learning. Constructivist theory (Vygotsky, 1978) emphasizes that learning occurs actively through social interaction and real-world experiences. In the context of deep learning, this theory highlights that students construct understanding through exploration, discussion, and problem-solving. Bloom's taxonomy (Bloom & Krathwohl, 1956) categorizes cognitive skills from lower-order thinking (remembering and understanding) to higher-order thinking (analyzing, evaluating, and creating) (Adams, 2015). Deep learning aligns with higher-order thinking, where students not only comprehend concepts but also connect them to broader contexts. Self-Determination theory (Ryan & Deci, 2000) further suggests that intrinsic motivation increases when students experience autonomy, competence, and social connection. Deep learning promotes such motivation by fostering active engagement and meaningful learning experiences.

Previous research has examined the implementation of deep learning in education, yet gaps remain that this study addresses. Fullan & Langworthy (2014) underscored the importance of deep learning for preparing students to meet global challenges and discussed the role of technology in supporting it. However, their study did not specifically consider memorization-based education systems, such as Indonesia's. Pandya (2019) explored how countries adopt deep learning strategies to improve education quality but did not analyze the challenges faced by developing nations in depth. Febrianty et al. (2024) identified major barriers to implementing innovative learning approaches in Indonesia, such as teacher preparedness and infrastructure limitations, but did not specifically address deep learning's potential and challenges.

This review indicates a research gap in understanding how deep learning can be effectively implemented in education systems heavily reliant on memorization and standardized testing. Rather than being incompatible, deep learning offers a transformative approach to overcoming these limitations. This study seeks to provide insights into effective strategies for deep learning, its impacts, and the challenges that must be addressed to foster mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning in Indonesia.

This research offers both academic and practical contributions. Academically, it enriches studies on educational innovation by providing insights into the implementation of deep learning in Indonesia. Practically, it offers recommendations for educators, guidance for policymakers in curriculum development, and support for schools in creating immersive and meaningful learning environments. Thus, the study not only contributes to academic discourse but also provides actionable strategies for improving education quality in Indonesia.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study employs a qualitative literature review approach to analyze the concepts, implementation, impact, and challenges of applying deep learning in education. A qualitative approach enables an in-depth exploration of perspectives from previous studies at both national and international levels, contributing to a comprehensive understanding of deep learning in educational contexts (Snyder, 2019). This method also facilitates the synthesis of findings across multiple sources, thereby identifying major trends and research gaps (Boell & Cecez-Kecmanovic, 2015).

The primary data sources are peer-reviewed journal articles-- national and international--that discuss deep learning in education. Selected journals were drawn from databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, Springer, IEEE Xplore, and Sinta, all of which maintain rigorous standards to ensure the validity and credibility of findings (Xiao & Watson, 2019). The reviewed articles address implementation strategies, effectiveness in improving learning quality, and challenges in applying deep learning. Data collection employed document analysis of journal articles, academic books, conference papers, and research reports. Literature searches used targeted keywords, including *deep learning in education*, *educational technology*, *deep learning implementation*, and *challenges in deep learning education*, ensuring systematic and comprehensive coverage (Tranfield et al., 2003).

Data were analyzed through thematic synthesis, which groups findings according to emerging patterns and themes (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The synthesis involves several stages: (a) organizing and categorizing articles by research focus (concepts, implementation, impacts, and challenges of deep learning); (b) conducting comparative analysis to identify patterns, similarities, and differences; (c) exploring contextual factors influencing deep learning outcomes, such as institutional readiness, educator competence, technological infrastructure, and policy frameworks (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019); and (d) synthesizing results to generate a broader understanding of how deep learning can be optimized in education systems.

This study has several limitations. First, as a literature review, it does not include primary data from educational institutions. Second, the quality and methodologies of the reviewed studies vary, which may affect generalizability. Third, given the rapid development of deep learning technologies, emerging trends may not be fully captured in this review (Bozkurt, 2023).

RESEARCH FINDINGS

Table 1 presents the key findings, organized according to the main themes and objectives of the study. These findings summarize empirical evidence and documented best practices related to the concept, implementation, impact, challenges, and international applications of deep learning in education.

Table 1 Research Findings on Deep Learning in Education

Category	Key Findings	References
Concept of Deep Learning	1. Deep learning differs from surface learning by focusing on conceptual understanding and application across contexts.	Marton & Säljö, 1976
	2. Essential competencies include critical thinking and problem-solving for the 21st century.	National Research Council, 2012
	3. Knowledge transferability across do mains enables adaptability in digital and interdisciplinary settings.	Chen et al., 2020; Shi, 2022; Warburton, 2003; Wu, 2024
	4. Pedagogical foundations include mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning.	Zeidan et al., 2010; Van den Beemt et al., 2023; Atkinson & Barker, 2023
Frameworks and Models of Implementation	1. Integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and big data analytics supports personalized feedback and adaptive learning pathways.	Shi, 2022; Chen, 2022
	2. Adaptive systems adjust difficulty based on student performance.	Chen, 2022
	3. Technology-enhanced collaborative environments increase student engagement.	OECD, 2019; Pan et al., 2023
Teaching Strategies in Deep Learning	1. Design-Based Learning (DBL) fosters real-world problem-solving and creativity.	Weng et al., 2023
	2. Project-Based Learning (PBL) improves motivation, knowledge retention, and teamwork.	Thomas, 2000
	3. Inquiry-Based Learning increases student ownership of learning.	Streicher & Bauer, 2024
	4. Digital tools and online platforms support these strategies.	Chen, 2022
Impact on Cognitive Development and Engagement	1. Cognitive impact: STEAM-based PBL produced sustained outcomes over six months, enhancing conceptual understanding and problem-solving skills.	Salmi et al., 2023
	2. Mindfulness practices improved focus, reduced anxiety, and supported performance.	Zeidan et al., 2010
	3. Student engagement: Deep learning increased ownership, enthusiasm, and commitment.	Zhang et al., 2023; Salmi et al., 2023
	4. Joyful and meaningful learning enhanced intrinsic motivation and creativity.	Amaefule et al., 2023; Mielikäinen et al., 2024

Category	Key Findings	References
Challenges in Implementation	1. Traditional assessments do not measure higher-order thinking; formative, portfolio, and performance-based assessments are recommended.	Jiang, 2022; Šimić Šašić et al., 2023
	2. Success varies by cultural and contextual factors; disparities in resources affect equity.	Jiang, 2022; Pan et al., 2023
	3. Limited teacher mastery requires continuous, collaborative professional development	Kilag et al., 2024; Berikkhanova, 2022
International Applications	1. Canada: NPDL framework emphasizes inquiry-based, real-world learning.	Sims et al., 2021
	2. United States: P21 framework prioritizes problem-solving and digital literacy.	Partnership for 21st Century Learning, 2019
	3. European Union: Digital Education Action Plan promotes interdisciplinary and collaborative learning.	Sounoglou, 2023
	4. STEAM models foster innovation and problem-solving.	Yakman & Lee, 2012

Source: Author's Synthesis from Relevant Literature, 2025

DISCUSSION

Expanded Concept of Deep Learning in Education

Deep learning is a pedagogical approach emphasizing deep conceptual understanding and application, moving beyond rote memorization. Marton & Säljö (1976) distinguish it from surface learning, describing deep learning as enabling students to grasp principles meaningfully and apply them in diverse contexts. Students are thus encouraged to connect new knowledge with prior experiences rather than memorize facts.

A core element of deep learning is fostering critical thinking and problem-solving. In today's complex world, students must analyze, evaluate, and synthesize information to make informed decisions (National Research Council, 2012). Deep learning also enhances knowledge transfer across domains, a skill increasingly critical in global and digital contexts (Chen et al., 2020; Shi, 2022; Warburton, 2003; Wu, 2024).

Deep learning prepares students to meet 21st-century challenges by cultivating creativity, collaboration, communication, and digital literacy—competencies central to the evolving workforce and STEAM disciplines (National Research Council, 2012; Partnership for 21st Century Learning, 2019).

Three pedagogical foundations--mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning–are central to deep learning. Mindful learning emphasizes awareness during learning. Zeidan et al. (2010) found mindfulness practices enhance concentration, reduce anxiety, and improve outcomes, findings echoed in later studies (Lin, 2020; Sykes, 2024; Wang et al., 2023). Integrating mindfulness helps students deepen their engagement and comprehension.

Meaningful learning occurs when students connect new material with existing knowledge and experiences. Rooted in constructivist theory, this approach enhances retention and comprehension through active engagement (Van den Beemt et al., 2023; Wong, 2022).

Joyful learning fosters intrinsic motivation, as positive emotions support persistence and creativity. Enjoyable learning experiences encourage students to engage deeply and persevere through challenges (Atkinson & Barker, 2023; Knoop, 2020). Recent research highlights technology's role in enabling deep learning. Artificial intelligence and adaptive platforms allow for personalized learning experiences

(Chen, 2022), while interdisciplinary approaches fosters holistic understanding (Pan et al., 2023).

Finally, global perspectives reveal deep learning frameworks operate across contexts. For example, Finland and Singapore have successfully adopted approaches emphasizing critical thinking and collaboration, resulting in significant improvements in student outcomes (OECD, 2019). Such examples demonstrate the importance of tailoring strategies to cultural and contextual differences.

Expanded Implementation of Deep Learning

Frameworks and Models

The implementation of deep learning in education has been facilitated by various frameworks and models that leverage technology and innovative teaching strategies. A key development is the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and big data analytics in educational assessment. These technologies allow educators to analyze student performance, identify learning patterns, and adjust instruction to meet individual needs (Shi, 2022). For example, a deep learning--based evaluation model for college English education was developed to enhance teaching quality through real-time feedback and personalized learning pathways (Shi, 2022).

Adaptive learning systems also support deep learning by using algorithms to adjust content difficulty and type based on student performance, ensuring appropriate challenge level for all learners (Chen, 2022). Research indicates that adaptive environments significantly improve student engagement and outcomes.

Technology-enhanced learning environments further strengthen deep learning. Online platforms and digital tools enable collaborative engagement and novel ways of interacting with content (Chen, 2022). Studies show that such environments enhance motivation and achievement. Globally, Finland's emphasis on student autonomy and collaborative learning has yielded high engagement and achievement (OECD, 2019). Similarly, Singapore's focus on critical thinking and problem-solving has advanced deep learning practices (Pan et al., 2023). These frameworks provide adaptable models for embedding deep learning principles across diverse contexts.

Teaching Strategies

Effective teaching strategies are crucial to supporting deep learning in educational settings. Several approaches have proven effective in enhancing student understanding and engagement, including Design-Based Learning (DBL), Project-Based Learning (PBL), and Inquiry-Based Learning. DBL emphasizes real-world problem-solving and creativity by engaging students in authentic projects that encourage higher-order thinking and collaboration (Weng et al., 2023). This model aligns with deep learning principles as it allows students to explore, experiment, and innovate. Research shows that DBL enhances students' understanding while also developing collaboration and critical thinking skills.

PBL engages students in long-term projects requiring them to research and respond to complex questions or challenges. This model encourages the application of knowledge in meaningful contexts, increasing both motivation and engagement (Thomas, 2000). Studies indicate that PBL is associated with improved knowledge retention and essential skills development, such as communication and teamwork. Similarly, Inquiry-Based Learning encourages students to ask questions, conduct research, and explore topics of interest. This approach places students at the center of the learning process, allowing them to take greater control of their education and develop deeper connection with the material (Streicher & Bauer, 2024). Research suggests that inquiry-based approaches lead to deeper understanding and better information retention.

Technological advancements further reinforce these strategies. Digital tools and online collaborative platforms offer additional opportunities for interactive and immersive learning (Chen, 2022). This is evident in global educational systems, where deep learning strategies are applied differently based on cultural and contextual factors. For example, Finland emphasizes collaborative and inquiry-based learning, aligning with deep learning principles (OECD, 2019). Singapore integrates critical thinking and technology-driven experiences to optimize engagement and performance (Pan et al., 2023). These insights suggest that combining pedagogical strategies with technological tools plays a critical role in fostering deep learning environments.

Mindful, Meaningful, and Joyful Learning

Deep learning is most effective when combined with mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning. Mindful learning involves reflection and self-assessment practices that help students become more aware of their learning processes. By encouraging reflection and goal-setting, educators foster deeper connections with learning materials (Zeidan et al., 2010). Research indicates that mindfulness improves focus, reduce stress, and enhances overall learning outcomes.

Meaningful learning emphasizes connecting new information with prior knowledge and experiences. This can be achieved through real-world examples, interdisciplinary projects, and collaborative learning (Zaka, 2023). Studies show that when students perceive relevance in what they learn, they engage more deeply with the material (Bryce & Blown, 2024). Joyful learning further supports engagement by creating positive and supportive environments. When students find joy in learning, they are more motivated to sustain effort and curiosity (Widayanti, 2020). Educators can promote joyful learning through play, creativity, and exploration.

Integrating these principles reflects major global educational trends, such as Finland's emphasis on autonomy and Singapore's focus on innovation and problem-solving (OECD, 2019; Pan et al., 2023). Technology-enhanced environments, including AI-driven learning, reinforce mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning by offering adaptive, reflective, and engaging experiences (Chen, 2022).

Synthesizing frameworks, teaching strategies, and learning principles produces a holistic approach to deep learning. The synergy among technology, pedagogy, and cognitive engagement ensures that students not only acquire knowledge but also develop critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and a lifelong love of learning.

Expanded Impact of Deep Learning in Education

Deep learning positively influences cognitive development, motivation, and engagement by promoting higher-order thinking—such as analysis, synthesis, and evaluation—while encouraging students to construct understanding and connect new concepts with prior knowledge (Salmi et al., 2023) Technology, Engineering, Art and Mathematics.

Cognitive Impact

One major impact of deep learning is improved cognitive ability. Salmi et al. (2023) found that STEAM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, and Mathematics) initiative using project-based learning produced sustained cognitive outcomes over six months. This approach enabled students to explore complex problems and collaboratively develop solutions, enhancing critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Active engagement in project-based learning also strengthened memory retention and conceptual understanding.

Mindful learning further supports cognitive development by fostering full awareness during the learning process. When students are fully conscious of their experiences, they reflect and connect learning to prior knowledge, leading to deeper understanding (Zeidan et al., 2010).

Student Engagement

Deep learning is closely linked to increased student engagement and motivation, particularly in informal learning environments. Students engaged in deep learning often take greater ownership of their learning, leading to improved academic outcomes and positive attitudes toward education (Zhang et al., 2023). For example, students participating in science exhibitions using deep learning strategies exhibited higher enthusiasm and commitment (Salmi et al., 2023).

Meaningful learning, which connects new information to existing knowledge, also fosters engagement by. making learning more relevant and impactful (Zhang et al., 2023). Joyful learning adds another dimension by combining enjoyment and intrinsic motivation. Project-based and inquiry-based learning approaches allow students to pursue interests and creativity, making learning more enjoyable and fulfilling (Amaefule et al., 2023; Mielikäinen et al., 2024). Thus, deep learning not only enhances students' academic understanding but also fosters a learning environment that encourage exploration, innovation and sustained engagement.

Expanded Challenges in Deep Learning

Despite its benefits, implementing deep learning faces challenges including assessment difficulties, contextual constraints, and limited teacher training. Overcoming these barriers is essential for equitable and effective learning.

Assessment Difficulties

A key challenge is the lack of standardized tools to measure deep learning. Traditional assessment focus on rote memorization and surface understanding, failing to capture the complexity of higher-order thinking skills, such as analysis, synthesis, and evaluation (Jiang, 2022). This often leads to in an inaccurate representation of students' cognitive abilities and progress.

Innovative assessment methods are needed, such as formative assessments, portfolio evaluations, and performance-based tasks. These approaches provide holistic insights into how students apply knowledge in real-world contexts (Šimić Šašić et al., 2023). Shifting from memorization to application helps educators evaluate both knowledge and its use.

Cultural and Contextual Factors

Deep learning effectiveness varies across contexts and cultures. Jiang (2022) notes that strategies effective in one cultural context may not work in another. For instance, in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) education, culturally relevant pedagogical approaches are essential for meaningful learning. Educators should consider students' cultural backgrounds and prior experiences when designing and implement deep learning activities that resonate with their learners.

Resource disparities further complicate implementation. Well-resourced schools can access advanced technologies and skilled educators, while under-resourced schools struggle, widening educational gaps (Pan et al., 2023). Equitable resource allocation and adaptable strategies are critical to addressing these inequities.

Teacher Training and Professional Development

Another challenge is the need for targeted teacher training. Many educators lack familiarity with deep learning principles or confidence in applying them. Ongoing professional development is essential

to equip teachers with the necessary skills (Kilag et al., 2023). Training should focus on deep learning strategies, innovative assessments, and culturally responsive teaching.

Supportive educator communities also strengthen implementation. Collaborative professional development, such as peer coaching, mentoring, and learning networks, enables teachers to share best practices, test methods, and refine strategies (Berikkhanova, 2022). A culture of continuous growth helps educators develop expertise in fostering deep learning.

Expanded International Applications of Deep Learning in Education

Globally, education systems increasingly integrate deep learning to prepare students for 21st-century challenges. Initiatives demonstrate its ability to promote critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, and digital literacy. For example, Canada's New Pedagogies for Deep Learning (NPDL) project emphasizes student-centered learning and essential competencies, including critical thinking, communication, and collaboration (Sims et al., 2021). Applied across provinces, this framework supports inquiry-based, real-world experiences that increase student engagement and improve learning outcomes (Chénier et al., 2020; Fullan & Langworthy, 2014).

In the United States, deep learning is embedded within the Partnership for 21st Century Skills (P21) framework, which emphasizes problem-solving, communication, and digital literacy (Partnership for 21st Century Learning, 2019). Schools adopt project-based learning to foster active, meaningful engagement aligned with real-life problem-solving. Similarly, the European Union's Digital Education Action Plan (2021–2027) promotes digital literacy and innovative pedagogy by leveraging technology to facilitate interdisciplinary and collaborative learning across diverse cultural settings (Sounoglou, 2023). These initiatives aim to ensure equitable access to deep learning opportunities for all students.

Expanding on these regional efforts, interdisciplinary learning is recognized as a key driver of deep learning. Integrating multiple disciplines fosters deeper understanding and engagement (Pan et al., 2023). The STEAM model, for example, blends science, technology, engineering, arts, and mathematics to enhance innovation and problem solving (Yakman & Lee, 2012).

Cultural adaptation also plays a vital role. Jiang, (2022) emphasizes that deep learning must align with students' cultural backgrounds and learning styles. In many Asian contexts, collaborative approaches such as group problem-solving are more effective than individualized learning, underscoring the need for contextually designed solutions.

Technological advancement further enhances deep learning. Artificial intelligence (AI) and big data analytics enable personalized learning, while adaptive platforms provide real-time feedback, support diverse learning needs, and offer more comprehensive assessments beyond traditional exams (Chen, 2022).

CONCLUSION AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Deep learning has the potential to transform students' cognitive development, critical thinking, motivation, and engagement. It promotes higher-order skills such as analysis, synthesis, and knowledge transfer across disciplines—competencies essential for the 21st century. Approaches emphasizing mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning reinforce student-centered instruction, making learning personally relevant and intrinsically motivating. Moreover, technological advancements—including AI-driven platforms and interdisciplinary strategies—have expanded the scope and impact of deep learning across diverse educational contexts globally.

However, several key challenges remain. Traditional assessment models fail to capture higher-order thinking; cultural and contextual disparities limit equitable outcomes; and insufficient teacher training hinders effective implementation. Without targeted interventions, these barriers may restrict the transformative potential of deep learning and widen educational inequities.

Based on the preceding discussion and the critical findings articulated in this study, the successful systemic integration of deep learning requires coordinated structural and pedagogical reforms. The transition towards a future-ready educational model hinges upon seven core policy directives, designed to establish a framework that is both adaptive and robust. Specific policy recommendations for both government and relevant policy makers are as follows.

First, develop holistic assessment systems. Governments and institutions should transition from conventional test-based evaluations to comprehensive, authentic assessments. These include formative assessments, portfolios, and performance-based evaluations that capture students' critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving abilities (Jiang, 2022; Šimić Šašić et al., 2023). *Second, invest in teacher professional development.* Sustained professional learning programs should strengthen teacher capacity in deep learning principles, pedagogical innovations (e.g., design-based learning, project-based learning, inquiry-based learning), and digital literacy (Berikkhanova, 2022; Kilag et al., 2023). Support systems—such as peer mentoring, collaborative learning communities, and reflective practice—should be institutionalized. *Third, ensure equity in access and resources*. Policymakers and stakeholders should address disparities between resource-rich and under-resourced schools by ensuring equitable access to digital tools, learning platforms, and infrastructure that enable inclusive deep learning (Pan & Chen, 2023). Policies must prioritize marginalized and underserved learners to reduce systemic inequalities.

Fourth, promote contextual and culturally responsive practices. Deep learning strategies should be adapted to local cultures, languages, and learning preferences. In culturally diverse settings, teaching approaches must resonate with students' lived experiences, particularly in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) and multilingual classrooms (Jiang, 2022). *Fifth, strengthen integration of technology in learning.* Policymakers and stakeholders should advance the use of artificial intelligence and adaptive technologies to personalize learning, provide real-time feedback, and support differentiated instruction (Chen, 2022). Policy frameworks must encourage ethical, inclusive, and pedagogically sound technology use in classrooms. *Sixth, foster interdisciplinary and collaborative learning.* Cross-disciplinary projects and real-world problem-solving should be embedded in curriculum design. Models such as STEAM can help students develop transferable skills across science, technology, arts, and humanities (Pan et al., 2023; Yakman & Lee, 2012). Seventh, adopt global best practices with local adaptation. Governments and institutions should draw lessons from countries such as Finland, Singapore, and Canada, which have implemented deep learning strategies through student autonomy, innovation, and collaboration (OECD, 2019; Sims et al., 2021). Adaptations, however, must align with national priorities and contextual realities.

With these policy directions, deep learning can serve as a foundation for future-ready education systems—equipping students with the knowledge, skills, and dispositions needed to thrive in a dynamic, interconnected world.

REFERENCE LIST

Adams, N. E. (2015). Bloom's taxonomy of cognitive learning objectives. *Journal of the Medical Library Association*, *103*(3), 152. https://doi.org/10.3163/1536-5050.103.3.010

Amaefule, C. O., Breitwieser, J., Biedermann, D., Nobbe, L., Drachsler, H., & Brod, G. (2023). Fostering children's acceptance of educational apps: The importance of designing enjoyable learning activities. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 54*(5). https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13314

Berikkhanova, A. (2022). Collaborative learning environment in the professional training of future teachers. *Education and Self Development*, *17*(2). https://doi.org/10.26907/esd.17.2.13

Bloom, B. S., & Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals. In *Handbook I: Cognitive Domain.*

Boell, S. K., & Cecez-Kecmanovic, D. (2015). On being "systematic" in literature reviews in IS. *Journal of Information Technology, 30*(2). https://doi.org/10.1057/jit.2014.26

Bozkurt, A. (2023). Generative artificial intelligence (AI) powered conversational educational agents: The inevitable paradigm shift. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, *18*(1). https://asianjde.com/ojs/index.php/AsianJDE/article/view/718

Bryce, T. G. K., & Blown, E. J. (2024). Ausubel's meaningful learning re-visited. *Current Psychology*, *43*(5). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-023-04440-4

Chen, X., Xie, H., Zou, D., & Hwang, G. J. (2020). Application and theory gaps during the rise of

Artificial Intelligence in Education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 1*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2020.100002

Chen, Z. (2022). Deep learning algorithm for online college physical education teaching with flipping classroom. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, *2022*. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8742661

Chénier, R., Sagram, M., Omari, K., & Jirovec, A. (2020). Earth observation and artificial intelligence for improving safety to navigation in Canada low-impact shipping corridors. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, *9*(6). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi9060383

Febrianty, Ambarita, J., & Sentanu, I. G. E. P. S. (2024). Digital transformation for inclusive education in rural Indonesia: Realizing equity and Sdgs. *Migration Letters, 21*, 961–981. https://migrationletters.com/index.php/ml/article/view/6879 Atkinson, D. P., & Barker, D. R. (2023). AI and the social construction of creativity. *Convergence*, *29*(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/13548565231187730

National Research Council. (2012). *A Framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas*. The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/13165

Diraco, G., Rescio, G., Siciliano, P., & Leone, A. (2023). Review on human action recognition in smart living: Sensing technology, multimodality, real-time processing, interoperability, and resource-constrained processing. *Sensors, 23*(11), 5281. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23115281

Fullan, M., & Langworthy, M. (2014). A rich seam: How new pedagogies find deep learning authors. Technical Report. Pearson. https://michaelfullan.ca/a-rich-seam-how-new-pedagogies-find-deep-learning/

Ambarita, J., Simanullang, P. S. (2023). *Implementasi pembelajaran berdiferensiasi*. Penerbit Adab.

Jiang, R. (2022). Understanding, investigating, and promoting deep learning in language education: A survey on Chinese college students' deep learning in the online EFL teaching context. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.955565

Kilag, O. K. T., Miñoza, J. R., Comighud, E. S., Amontos, C. A., Damos, M. C., & Abendan, C. F. K. (2024). Empowering teachers: Integrating technology into livelihood education for a digital future. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE), 1*(5), 65–71. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11117540 Knoop, H. H. (2020). Mihaly csikszentmihalyi. In *The Wiley Encyclopedia of Personality and Individual Differences, Personality Processes and Individuals Differences* (eds B.J. Carducci, C.S. Nave, A. Fabio, D.H. Saklofske and C. Stough). https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119547174.ch258

Knoop, H.H. (2020). Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi. In B.J. Carducci, C.S. Nave, A. Fabio, D.H. Saklofske & C. Stough (Eds). *The Wiley Encyclopedia of Personality and Individual Differences*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119547174.ch258

Lin, Y. T. (2020). The interrelationship among psychological capital, mindful learning, and English learning engagement of university students in Taiwan. *SAGE Open*, *10*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020901603

Marton, F., & Säljö, R. (1976). On qualitative differences in learning: I—Outcome and process. *British journal of educational psychology*, *46*(1), 4-11. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8279.1976.tb02980.x

Biro Komunikasi dan Hubungan Masyarakat. (2025, February 17). *Mendikdasmen tekankan peran deep learning dalam meningkatkan kualitas pendidikan Indonesia* [Press Release]. Kementerian Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah. https://kemendikdasmen.go.id/siaran-pers/11860-mendikdasmen-tekankan-peran-deep-learning-dalam-meningkatkan

Mielikäinen, M., Viippola, E., & Tepsa, T. (2024). Experiences of a project-based blended learning approach in a community of inquiry from information and communication technology engineering students at Lapland university of applied sciences in Finland. *E-Learning and Digital Media*, *21*(5). https://doi.org/10.1177/20427530231164053

Jena, M. K. & Barad, S. (2024). Professional development of secondary school teachers: Adapting to 21st century educational paradigms. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Modern Science and Technology*, *3*(1). https://doi.org/10.59828/ijsrmst.v3i1.172

Mooduto, Y. S., & Musa, A. V. (2021). Improving student learning outcomes using the class IV joyful learning method in elementary school. *Journal of Asian Multicultural Research for Educational Study*, *2*(2). https://doi.org/10.47616/jamres.v2i2.194

Ubay, U., Wijaya, M., & Widad, N. (2021). Teacher's Strategy In Building Language Awareness Through Joyful Learning. *Al-Tanzim: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam*, *5*(3), 235-247. https://doi.org/10.33650/al-tanzim.v5i3.3224

OECD. (2019), *PISA 2018 results (Volume I): What students know and can do*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/5f07c754-en

Pan, H. L. W., & Chen, W. Y. (2023). Networked learning communities in promoting teachers' receptivity to change: How professional learning beliefs and behaviors mediate. *Sustainability*, *15*(3), 2396. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032396

Pan, Q., Zhou, J., Yang, D., Shi, D., Wang, D., Chen, X., & Liu, J. (2023). Mapping knowledge domain analysis in deep learning research of global education. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *15*(4), 3097. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15043097

Pandya, J. (2019, January 28). The geopolitics of artificial intelligence. *Forbes*. https://www.forbes.com/sites/cognitiveworld/2019/01/28/the-geopolitics-of-artificial-intelligence/

Partnership for 21st Century Learning. (2019). *Framework for 21st century learning*. Partnership for 21st Century Learning.

Polman, J., Hornstra, L., & Volman, M. (2021). The meaning of meaningful learning in mathematics in upper-primary education. *Learning Environments Research*, *24*(3). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10984-020-09337-8

Purwaningsih, D. I., Nurdiana, R., Asmah, S. N., & Kurniawati, D. (2021). Pengaplikasian "joyful learning" pada pembelajaran Matematika. *Kapuas*, *1*(2). https://doi.org/10.31573/jk.v1i2.344

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, *55*(1). https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68

Salmi, H. S., Thuneberg, H., & Bogner, F. X. (2023). Is there deep learning on Mars? STEAM education in an inquiry-based out-of-school setting. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *31*(2). https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1823856

Shi, J. (2022). Deep learning for college English education evaluation. *Mobile Information Systems*, *2022*. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/3558558

Šimić Šašić, S., Nikčević-Milković, A., & Cindrić, M. (2023). How much do teachers know about self-regulated learning? To what degree and in what way do they encourage it in students? *Frontiers in Education*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2023.1281438

Sims, L., Inwood, H., Elliott, P., & Gerofsky, S. (2021). Innovative praxis for environmental learning in Canadian faculties of education. *Australian Journal of Environmental Education*, *37*(3). https://doi.org/10.1017/aee.2021.2

Sounoglou, M. (2023). Greek, Finnish and Danish curricula and their relation to the labor market: a critical approach. *Journal for Critical Education Policy Studies*, *21*(3).

Streicher, A., & Bauer, K. (2024). Cognitive user modeling for adaptivity in serious games. *Intelligent Human Systems Integration (IHSI 2024): Integrating People and Intelligent Systems*, *119*. https://doi.org/10.54941/ahfe1004472

Sykes, J. A. (2024). Ellen Langer—Mindful Learning. In *Brain Friendly School Libraries*. https://doi.org/10.5040/9798400621048.ch-002

Thomas, J., W. (2000). *A review of research on project-based learning*. http://www.bobpearlman.org/BestPractices/PBL_Research.pdf

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British Journal of Management, 14*(3), 207-222. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.00375

Van den Beemt, A., Groothuijsen, S., Ozkan, L., & Hendrix, W. (2023). Remote labs in higher engineering education: engaging students with active learning pedagogy. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, *35*(2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-022-09331-4

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: Development of Higher Psychological Processes* (M. Cole, V. Jolm-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman, Eds.). Harvard University Press. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvjf9vz4

Wang, Q., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., & Chen, T. (2023). The impact of mindful learning on subjective and psychological well-being in postgraduate students. *Behavioral Sciences*, *13*(12). https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13121009

Warburton, K. (2003). Deep learning and education for sustainability. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, *4*(1). https://doi.org/10.1108/14676370310455332

Weng, C., Chen, C., & Ai, X. (2023). A pedagogical study on promoting students' deep learning through design-based learning. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, *33*(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-022-09789-4

Widayanti, F. D. (2020). Manajemen pengelolaan kelas melalui joyful learning. *Jurnal Likhitaprajna*, *16*(2), 98-104.Wong, D. (2022). Active learning in osteopathic education: Evaluation of think-pair-share in an undergraduate pathology unit. *International Journal of Osteopathic Medicine*, *43*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijosm.2021.12.001

Wu, X. Y. (2024). Exploring the effects of digital technology on deep learning: a meta-analysis. *Education and Information Technologies*, *29*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12307-1

Xiao, Y. & Watson, M. (2019). Guidance on Conducting a Systematic Literature Review. In *Journal of Planning Education and Research, 39*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X17723971 (Original work published 2019).

Yakman, G., & Lee, H. (2012). Exploring the Exemplary STEAM Education in the U.S. as a Practical Educational Framework for Korea. *Journal of The Korean Association for Science Education*, *32*(6). https://doi.org/10.14697/jkase.2012.32.6.1072

Zaka, P. (2023). Meaningful learning with technology. *Journal of Open, Flexible and Distance Learning*, *16*(2). https://doi.org/10.61468/jofdl.v16i2.190

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0

Zeidan, F., Johnson, S. K., Diamond, B. J., David, Z., & Goolkasian, P. (2010). Mindfulness meditation improves cognition: Evidence of brief mental training. *Consciousness and Cognition*, *19*(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2010.03.014

Zhang, X., & Cao, Z. (2021). A framework of an intelligent education system for higher education based on deep learning. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, *16*(7). https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v16i07.22123

Zhang, Y., Tang, F., Dong, W., Huang, H., Ma, C., Lee, T. Y., & Xu, C. (2023). A unified arbitrary style transfer framework via adaptive contrastive learning. *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, *42*(5). https://doi.org/10.1145/3605548